Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,315	CENTANNI, MICHAEL A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip H Leung	3742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Exr. Philip H Leung.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Michael Jaffe.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claims 2-7 and 15-26 Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
· .		
•		
Anil to		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney was told that claim 1 is allowable and generic to all dependent claims therefore all the withdrawn claims can be rejoined. Attorney agreed to review and amend the withdrawn claims so that they conform to the changes made in claim 1 by a supplemental response. Claim 26 will also be changed to remove the improper underlining.